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Abstract—Most standard video compression schemes such as H.264/AVC involve a high complexity encoder with block motion estimation (ME) engine. However, applications such as video reconnaissance and surveillance using unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) require a low complexity video encoder. Additionally, in such applications, the motion in the video is primarily global and due to the known movement of the camera platform. Therefore in this work, we propose and investigate a low complexity encoder with global motion based frame prediction and no block ME. We show that for videos with mostly global motion, this encoder performs better than a baseline H.264 encoder with ME block size restricted to 8 x 8. Furthermore, the quality degradation of this encoder with decreasing bit rate is more gradual than that of the baseline H.264 encoder since it does not need to allocate bits across motion vectors (MVs) and residue data. We also incorporate a spectral entropy based coefficient selection and quantizer design scheme that entails latency and demonstrate that it helps achieve more consistent frame quality across the video sequence.

I. INTRODUCTION

Traditional video compression schemes such as MPEG-2 and H.264/AVC use a highly complex encoder with a block motion estimation (ME) scheme and typically target applications such as entertainment video storage and playback (DVDs), video streaming, video conferencing, and entertainment broadcasting. In contrast, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) present video compression requirements different from the typical video compression applications. It is desirable to have low complexity video encoders in UAV payloads due to the tight constraints on space, weight, and power of UAVs with the desire for longer endurance, greater functionality, and lower fuel consumption [1]. Additionally, the motion in the video sequences is primarily global and due to the known motion of the UAV and the camera mounts. Hence video compression in UAVs has constraints and degrees of freedom not addressed by traditional video compression schemes.

Previous research on low complexity video encoders has been dominated by low complexity versions of the H.264 standard and methods based on the Wyner-Ziv distributed source coding theory. Low complexity H.264 encoders [2], [3] discard certain complex toolsets such as CABAC entropy coding or pursue short-cuts in mode decisions, resulting in poorer performance. Wyner-Ziv video codecs [4], [5] outperform H.264 intra and sometimes even H.264 ‘zero-motion’ coding. Nevertheless, they require a feedback channel and instantaneous decoding at the receiver [6] and modifications proposed to avoid the feedback channel [7], [8] increase the complexity of the encoder and result in a loss of quality.

Research has been done on incorporating global motion in standard codecs such as MPEG-4 and H.264/AVC. Global motion compensated frames are used as reference frames for block motion estimation (ME) in [9], [10]. Block prediction based on global motion is used in addition to regular H.264 intra and inter modes in [11]–[13]. However, these techniques have been used within a block ME framework for standard video sequences but have not been used on entire frames for video sequences where the motion is almost entirely global.

Video compression schemes tailored for UAV applications that exploit the available global motion information have also been proposed. Gong et al. [14] use a homography to model the global motion, merge the first intra frame and subsequent inter frame residues in a frame group into a single “big image”, and code it using JPEG2000. However, the creation of the “big image” is not highly conducive to JPEG2000 compression since one portion is the intra frame and the rest are residues while JPEG2000 is primarily designed for natural images. Rodriguez et al. [15] use the available global motion information to simplify block ME in a MPEG-4 encoder. While their approach reduces the complexity of a standard video encoder, transmitting the motion vectors is redundant since the motion parameters of the UAV and camera mounts is readily available at the receiver.

In this work, we propose a low complexity encoder that utilizes the global motion information available for global motion compensated frame prediction. Results demonstrate that this encoder has better rate-distortion performance than a H.264 encoder with ME block size constrained to 8 x 8 that has complexity in the same order as the proposed encoder. The quality degradation with decreasing bit rate is also more graceful for the encoder with global motion since bits need not be allocated across motion vectors and residue data. We also integrate a spectral entropy based coefficient selection and bit allocation scheme that examines future frames and show that it helps make the quality of frames more consistent across the video sequence. The performance of the encoders is
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evaluated in terms of the average bit rate required to achieve a
given quality level and standard deviation of the quality across
frames. The frame quality is quantified using peak signal-
to-noise ratio (PSNR) and Structural SIMilarity (SSIM) [16]
measures.

It is to be noted that in addition to being used in military
applications, UAVs are being increasingly used in commercial
applications such as monitoring areas that are unsafe for
humans (like Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi tsunami-damaged
nuclear plant), plume tracking, and collecting bio-related data
from large areas [17]. Also, video compression algorithms
motivated by the UAV video surveillance can be employed in
applications such as video surveillance of remote areas with
little transient human activity expected, where low cost and
low complexity video capture units are desirable and majority
of the motion in videos is global and due to the known motion
of the camera mounts. Therefore, the proposed encoder can be
used in several non-military applications.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II describes
the encoder architecture and briefly explains the spectral
entropy based coefficient selection and bit allocation schemes.
Section III presents the results and discusses them. Section IV
summarizes the work and draws conclusions.

II. LOW COMPLEXITY VIDEO ENCODER

![Architecture for the proposed low complexity video encoder](image)

The proposed encoder is shown in block diagram form in
Fig. 1. The first frame in each group of pictures (GOP) is coded
as an intra frame with intra prediction. The remaining frames
in the GOP are inter predicted using global motion compensated
reconstructed frames. The global motion parameters are
derived from the known camera motion and are not estimated
from the original frames using complex algorithms. Unlike
in conventional block ME, this prediction is for the whole
frame and not for individual blocks. The prediction residue is
put through a spatial 2-D transform and the variances of the
transform components are estimated using all the coefficients
in the frame. The significant coefficients that are to be coded
are chosen based on spectral entropy as proposed in [18]
and bits are allocated to the chosen coefficients as per the
scheme developed in [19]. The bits allocated are used to design
scalar quantizers that operate independently on the transform
components. The quantized coefficients are then entropy coded
to generate the compressed bitstream.

We have implemented the proposed encoder using the H.264
integer transform [20] as the spatial 2-D transform. We also
have adopted the H.264 quantization framework and design
H.264 quantization matrices (QMs) using spectral entropy
principles. The GOP size is set to 8 and the inter frames are
predicted using two global motion compensated reconstructed
frames: the preceding frame and the next available intra frame.
The following subsections briefly describe the global motion
model and the spectral entropy based coefficient selection and
bit allocation schemes.

A. Global motion model

In the test sequences used in this work, the global motion
consists mostly of rotation, scaling, and translation. Hence the
RST global motion model is employed which is defined by

\[ T_{0}(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_3 - \theta_4 \\ \theta_4 \theta_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}, \]  

(1)

where \((x, y)\) is the position of the pixel in the original frame,
\(T_{0}(x, y)\) the position of the pixel in the motion compensated
frame, \([\theta_1, \theta_2]^T \in \mathbb{R}^2\) is the translation vector, and \((\theta_3, \theta_4) \in \mathbb{R}^2\) are the parameters describing the rotation and scaling.

Higher order, more complex models such as homography
could be easily used in place of the RST model, if the video
sequence requires it.

B. Spectral entropy based coefficient selection [18]

In transform based compression schemes where the band-
width is limited, it is not possible to transmit all transform
coefficients and hence some coefficients need to be discarded.
Therefore it is important to choose or sample the transform
coefficients that best represent a signal (significant coefficients)
and code them with high fidelity. Assuming zero mean, coefficients with magnitudes greater than a threshold are
retained and the remaining are discarded. In many video en-
coders, the thresholds used to determine the coded coefficients
are chosen heuristically or based on perceptual tests. Yang
and Gibson [18], [21], [22] developed a method to choose
significant coefficients with theoretical underpinnings based
on Campbell’s coefficient rate [23].

Consider a zero-mean stationary continuous-time random
process \(X(t)\) whose K-L coefficients in the time interval
\([0, T]\) are \(\{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_M\}\) with \(C_i's\) being uncorrelated
random variables with \(E[C_i] = 0\) and \(E[C_i^2] = \lambda_i\). Let
\(y(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N)\) be a product of \(N\) independent sample functions
of \(X(t)\). Yang and Gibson [18] showed that for large \(N\),
the number of occurrences of \(\lambda_i\) in the high energy terms of
the energy of \(y(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N)\) is proportional to \(\lambda_i\) and given by

\[ n_i = \frac{\lambda_i}{\sigma^2} N, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, M, \]  

(2)

where \(\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i\) is the total average energy of the \(X(t)\).
Therefore if transform coefficients from \( N \) blocks of data are available, (2) suggests that the number of coefficients coded in each transform component should be proportional to the energy in that component. Once the number of coefficients to be coded in each transform component are determined, the coefficients across all the \( N \) blocks are examined and those with the largest magnitudes are chosen and coded. This method requires latency to compute the component energies and to examine the coefficients across all blocks. In contrast to the classical method, this coefficient selection mechanism has been shown to achieve better SNR (with no rate control) [21] and subjective quality [22].

C. Spectral entropy based bit allocation

Let there be \( N \) sampling functions (blocks/frames) each with \( M \) transform components. Out of the total \( M \times N \) coefficients, let \( L \) coefficients be coded. Then the spectral entropy based coefficient selection derived in the previous subsection II-B dictates that the number of coefficients \( n_i \) coded in each component be proportional to the energy \( \lambda_i \) of that component i.e. \( n_i = \frac{\lambda_i}{\sum_i \lambda_i} L \).

If \( b^{(S)}_i \) is the average number of bits spent to code a significant coefficient of component \( i \), the total number of bits spent is \( B^{(S)} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} n_i b^{(S)}_i + B(\text{sig.map}) \) where \( B(\text{sig.map}) \) is the number of bits required to code the binary significance map that indicates the significant coefficients. The coding distortion is generated by two sources: quantization and discarding coefficients. Hence the expected value of the distortion of the \( i^{th} \) component can be written as

\[
d_i^{(S)} = n_i \times E(\text{quantization error}) + (N - n_i) \times E(\text{energy of discarded coefficients}) = n_i \times h_i \lambda_i 2^{-2b^{(S)}_i} + (N - n_i) \times \lambda_i
\]

(3)

Here, the quantization error is computed assuming that the overload distortion is negligible and the high-resolution approximation holds and \( h_i \) is a constant determined by the distribution of the normalized random variable \( C_i/\sqrt{\lambda_i} \) [24].

Setting up the bit allocation problem as finding \( b^{(S)}_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, M \) so as to minimize the overall bit consumption \( B^{(S)} \) subject to the constraint that \( D = \sum_{i=1}^{M} d_i^{(S)} \), the optimal \( b^{(S)}_i \) can be shown to be

\[
b^{(S)}_i = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( \frac{\lambda_i h_i}{[D - \sum_{i=1}^{M} (N - n_i) \lambda_i]/L} \right).
\]

(4)

Spectral entropy bit allocation results in each transform component having the same average quantization distortion per coded coefficient. The bit allocation expression in (4) has a form similar to the classical bit allocation result \( b^{(C)}_i = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( \frac{\lambda_i h_i}{D/N} \right) \). The denominator within the logarithm in (4) averages only the quantization distortion over only the significant coefficients while that in the classical result averages the total distortion budget over all coefficients. The spectral entropy bit allocation scheme hence can be interpreted as dividing the total distortion budget between quantizing and discarding coefficients.

In our implementation, the total number of coefficients to be coded in each frame is decided based on the relative energy of the frame within that GOP and the target average distortion. Then for each frame, the number of significant coefficients in each transform component is computed to be proportional to the empirical energy of that component computed over the entire frame. Finally, coefficients with the largest magnitudes are chosen and bits are allocated to them so as to meet the target distortion. Therefore, the use of the spectral entropy based coefficient selection and bit allocation scheme requires a latency equal to number of frames in a GOP.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we analyze the contributions of the global motion compensation and spectral entropy based quantizer design by comparing the performance of encoders with the following different combinations of tools.

- BMh0 - Block motion estimation with \( 8 \times 8 \) blocks with default H.264 QM. (This is the baseline H.264 encoder with no deblocking filter.)
- GMh0 - Global motion compensation with default H.264 QM.
- GMh1 - Global motion compensation with default H.264 QM and spectral entropy coefficient selection
- GMs1 - Global motion compensation with spectral entropy QM and spectral entropy coefficient selection

The notation used here is as follows: ‘BM’ refers to block motion while ‘GM’ refers to global motion; ‘h’ indicates default H.264 QM use while ‘s’ indicates spectral entropy QM; and ‘1’ denotes spectral entropy coefficient selection while ‘0’ denotes no spectral entropy coefficient selection (all coefficients that are non-zero after quantization are transmitted).

The baseline H.264 encoder (BMh0) is chosen such that its complexity is in the same order as, but higher than that of the GMs1 encoder. Complexity analysis of these two encoders in terms of storage requirements, memory accesses, and computations has been done in [25].

We have tested these encoders for video sequences where most of the motion is global and due to the motion of the camera platform. Here we present and discuss results only for the “aerial_beach1” sequence at 400 \( \times \) 240 resolution which has been captured from a helicopter flying along a beach. The majority of the motion in the video is global and due to the movement of the helicopter and the panning and rotation of the camera. The results for the other test video sequences show trends similar to those for the “aerial_beach1” sequence and similar conclusions can be drawn.

The average quality in terms of PSNR and SSIM is plotted against bit rate of the various encoders for the “aerial_beach1” sequence in Figs. 2 (a) and (b). The corresponding standard deviation of quality is plotted against the average quality in Figs. 2 (c) and (d). Comparing the curves for BMh0 and GMh0 in Figs. 2(a) and (b), it can be seen that the quality degradation with bit rate of the GMh0 encoder at lower bit
rates is gradual while the quality for the BMh0 encoder drops sharply. This is due to the fact that the BMh0 encoder allocates bits across MVs and residue data, while the GMh0 encoder does not. The use of global motion instead of block motion also gives significant performance gains at lower bit rates. This is because at lower bit rates, motion vectors (MVs) consume a large fraction of the total bit rate when block motion is used and MVs could use more bits than the frame residues. The performance gains decrease at higher bit rates where the bit rate savings on MVs are less important and the savings on residue data are not very significant. Encoder bit rate savings in UAV payloads are also critical since fewer bits to be transmitted translates to lower power consumption.

In Fig. 2, comparing the results of the GMh0 and GMs1 encoders, we see that using spectral entropy-based coefficient selection and quantization matrix (QM) design improves the constancy of quality across frames although it degrades the average rate-distortion performance. Also, the variation in quality for the GMs1 encoder is roughly constant across different bit rates as indicated by the flatness of the curves in Figs. 2 (c) and (d). This is because the spectral entropy QM design looks ahead at frames in the GOP and ensures constant quality.

Therefore the GMs1 encoder gives higher quality with lower quality variation than the baseline H.264 (BMh0) encoder at lower bit rates. Fig. 3 compares the visual quality of these two encoders for two magnified portions of frame 83 of the “aerial_beach1” sequence. From Figs. 3(b), (d), and (f), it can be seen that there are fewer blocking artifacts in the GMs1 encoder output. This is because of the absence of block ME and the better quantization. The improved quantization also helps retain more sharp edges as seen in Figs. 3(c), (e), and (g).

In Fig. 4, the frame-wise PSNR is plotted for the BMh0 and GMs1 encoders operating at an average PSNR of 35.87dB. It can be observed that for the GMs1 encoder, the PSNR within a GOP remains relatively constant, while for the BMh0 encoder the PSNR could change by up to 2dB within 5 frames (frames 84-88).

IV. CONCLUSION

UAV reconnaissance and video surveillance applications are different from traditional video applications in that they require low complexity encoders and most of the motion in the video is global. Motivated by this application, we have proposed a low complexity video encoder tailored for sequences with mostly global motion whose characteristics are

- no block motion estimation,
- frame-level global motion compensated prediction with the global motion parameters derived from the known camera platform movements, and
- spectral entropy based coefficient selection and quantizer design.
We have compared its performance to a baseline H.264 encoder and separately analysed the performance gains due to the use of global motion compensation and spectral entropy based quantizer design. An encoder using global motion compensation instead of block motion estimation can achieve similar frame quality at significantly lower bit rates, reducing the transmission power required. Use of global motion also makes the drop in quality with bit rate less drastic, since bits are not allocated across motion vectors and residues. It also is a major contributor to the reduction of the encoder complexity. Incorporating spectral entropy based bit allocation in the encoder, entails latency but improves the constancy of quality across frames.

REFERENCES